



ADVANCEMENTS IN GENOMIC TECHNOLOGIES AND THEIR IMPACT ON CROP IMPROVEMENT AND BREEDING METHODS

REHMAN AU¹, ABBAS A¹, ARSHAD A², RAZA GM³, UMAR M⁴, BUKHARI MS*⁵

¹Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, University of the Punjab, P.O BOX. 54590, Lahore, Pakistan

²Department of Seed Science and Technology, University of Agriculture Faisalabad P.O BOX, 38000, Faisalabad, Pakistan

³Department of Agronomy, University of Agriculture Faisalabad P.O BOX, 38000, Faisalabad, Pakistan

⁴Department of Soil Science, University of Agriculture Faisalabad P.O BOX, 38000, Faisalabad, Pakistan

⁵Agricultural Research Station Bahawalpur, P.O BOX, 63100, Bahawalpur, Pakistan

*Correspondence author email address: shahjhanbukhari@gmail.com

(Received, 16th March 2023, Revised 11th January 2024, Published 15th January 2024)

Abstract Recent advances in genome sequencing of essential crop plants allow genotype and phenotype data integration in crop development. Advanced statistical methods identified quantitative trait genes. Genomic prediction has been used successfully in breeding animals and is now also used in breeding plants. Biometric statistics, genome-wide markers, and phenotyping enhance gene discovery. This makes biotechnology agricultural plant solutions possible. Improved fertilizer efficiency requires precise plant phenotyping in multiple habitats and seasons, which was previously expensive. DNA sequencing, genetic engineering, and PCR-based marker-assisted selection have made it cheaper. New methods like next-generation sequencing can target climate-responsive crop development. It examines *Oryza sativa* L. flower opening and closing molecularly and hybrid breeding success in diverse plant types. It discusses CRISPR/Cas9 for crop trait improvement and metabolic studies for *Triticum aestivum* L. quality group determination. Genetic analysis should use species-specific techniques, according to the study. A *Zea mays* L. callus induction and growth study examined how media and growth conditions affect callus development. Another drought-stressed *Triticum aestivum* L. cultivar gene expression study suggests employing RNA editing to respond to environmental stress. PCR-based markers have helped scientists find important genes in landraces that have changed to survive harsh farming conditions, giving them targets for crop growth.

[Citation: Rehman, A.U., Abbas, A., Arshad, A., Raza, G.M., Umar, M., Bukhari, M.S. (2024). Advancements in genomic technologies and their impact on crop improvement and breeding methods. Bull. Biol. All. Sci. Res. 9: 61. doi: <https://doi.org/10.54112/bbbsr.v2024i1.61>]

Keywords: Genomic Prediction; GWAS; PCR; CRISPER/Cas9; Gene Expression

Introduction

Recent progress in sequencing the genomes of major food species has opened up new and exciting ways to combine genotyping and phenotyping to improve crops. For example, more advanced statistical methods have helped determine which genes are responsible for different numeric traits. Genomic prediction has been used successfully to breed animals and is now also used to breed plants (Crossa et al., 2017). When genome-wide markers and phenotyping are used in big breeding nurseries or collections, biometric statistics are crucial for finding new genes. It is now feasible to go back to biotechnological concepts and seek out more targeted solutions in crop plants, all thanks to developments in next-generation sequencing and site-directed mutagenesis (Chu and Agapito-Tenfen, 2022). A

major obstacle to enhancing nutrient usage efficiency is the need for precise phenotyping of several plants from different environments and development seasons, despite the aim to link genetics with knowledge of plant nutrition (Rebetzke et al., 2019; Sandhu et al., 2021). It used to be too expensive to make labels for orphan crops. But thanks to progress in DNA sequencing, genetic engineering of important food varieties, PCR-based selection methods, and next-generation sequencing, this is now easier and costs less (Saini et al., 2020; Singh et al., 2022). Over a few years ago, molecular genetics and biotechnology have largely focused on improving crop varieties for human and animal use and biofuel and aesthetic purposes (Sainger et al., 2017). During this time, this collection of research publications explores new methodologies and discoveries in agricultural plants (Bailey-Serres et al., 2019).

Because of its importance in producing staple foods like bread, pasta, and noodles, wheat has been selectively bred to increase grain output and improve quality. When wheat seeds germinate too early because of weather, it lowers the baking quality a problem known as pre-harvest sprouting (PHS), which is a big obstacle in wheat breeding. Seed dormancy, an important genetic factor, has a big impact on how resistant plants are to growing before harvest (PHS). QTLs on the 21 chromosomes of hexaploid wheat control this trait (Ali et al., 2019). Additionally, the review delves into how gibberellin signalling pathways, abscisic acid, alpha-amylase, and flavonoids contribute to PHS resistance. Additional research is necessary to comprehend PHS resistance completely, despite the significant strides achieved by map-based cloning, transcriptomic, and comparative genomes (Salgotra and Stewart Jr, 2020). Developing crops responding to changing climate conditions is another important part of crop breeding that requires biotechnological and digital breakthroughs. Nonetheless, conventional approaches like mutations have received the lion's share of attention in the current research on genetic tools for agricultural improvement, while climate change has been largely ignored. Spring and winter barley and wheat varieties are cultivated with various spike shapes and end uses; breeding businesses routinely use genomic selection to improve these crops (Salgotra and Stewart Jr, 2020). It is essential to develop these crops for quality qualities like malting for beer and whiskey and baking/pasta quality because they are utilized for both human consumption and animal feed (Brzozowski et al., 2023).

Molecular mechanism of rice flower opening/closing CMS is a well-known phenomenon that has been researched in over 150 plant species. Hybridology refers to the study of various elements of hybridization (Andrade, 2019). The heterosis effect, visible in superior F1 offspring in terms of productivity, fruit size, and other appealing characteristics, has been a focus of hybrid breeding. Sunflowers can only grow in certain climates, but they have a lot of promise as an oil crop because of how concentrated their oil is and what kinds of fatty acids they contain (Debaeke and Izquierdo, 2021). Growing sunflower hybrids worldwide need to use H. petiolaris PET1 CMS and F1 hybrid seeds with the Rf1 gene (Meena et al., 2022). A recent genomic study called a genome-wide association study (GWAS) correctly located the fertility-restoring gene PET1 to a 7 Mb section on a chromosome. There are 21 possible genes in this area. All but one of them are in the pentatricopeptide gene family (Wani et al., 2020). Other researchers have reported similar findings, such as finding the branching locus that makes flowers last longer in linkage group 10 and the Rf1 gene in linkage group 13 (Wani et al., 2020).

Rice hulls open briefly during the flowering stage to allow fertilization, but then they close again to stop

the plant from fertilizing itself (Deyto and Cervancia, 2022). MADS-box genes control this process, and the *nohl* rice mutant, found using marker-assisted cloning, is a key player. It was found that the *NOH1* gene is on chromosome 1 of rice, within a 60-kb region that has nine other genes (Zhang et al., 2019). Meanwhile, two mutations in faba beans (*Vicia faba* L.) have been discovered to influence the absence of tannins in seeds (Alghamdi and Migdadi, 2020). Because of the crop's potential as a protein source in temperate agroclimatic zones, selection against tannins in faba bean breeding has garnered attention (Mulugeta Kabithiymer, 2020). The recessive *zt-1* gene has been successfully connected to molecular markers, allowing it to be used in tannin selection in breeding programs. Identifying essential genes in the mono-lignol biosynthesis pathway has been facilitated by discovering the brown midrib (*bmr*) phenotype in maize in the 1920s and its subsequent link to enhanced digestibility in cattle (Li et al., 2021). Multiple C3 plants, like rice, barley, and wheat, have been seen to have changes in their photosynthetic processes. Both C4 photosynthetic crops, Cassava and sorghum have also shown similar changes (Bai et al., 2021). With a wealth of knowledge on agronomic performance, genetically modified crops, and cloned mutant genes involved in lignin production, new site-directed mutagenesis techniques are now possible (Ribeiro et al., 2019).

Novel approaches in breeding crops

People sometimes use the words "canola" and "rapeseed" to mean the same thing, but they mean different things. Canola came about because of Canada's rapeseed low acid breeding efforts in the 1970s, which used mutational breeding to improve the plants (Ansari, 2021). Genetic modification has also been used recently to get the same effects. Over the past few years, genetic editing of *Brassica napus* L. has been successful (Ton et al., 2020). The results from studying the model plant *Arabidopsis* can be used to improve rapeseed. The main goals of improving rapeseed have been to make the seeds contain more oil and change how oil is made (Beszterda and Nogala-Kalucka, 2019). People have been able to do this by breeding and genetically changing single genes. One study by Pandita et al. (2022) showed that adding the soybean transcription factors *GmDof4* and *GmDof11* to rapeseed can change the *FAB2* and *FAD2* genes that are involved in making fatty acids, which increases the amount of oleic acid present. The scientists used *Agrobacterium* to change the "Yangyou" variety, which already had a "double low" trait that they liked (Rasmussen, 2020). It has been found that soybean *GmDof11* and *GmDof4* target genes in this species. A total of 134 *Dof* genes have been identified in *B. napus* (Rasmussen, 2020). There is a push to boost rice yields by 2% yearly so that there is enough food for everyone by 2050.

However, this goal may go against cultural beliefs about rice, like how it smells, feels, and tastes. To solve this problem, another study has focused on determining the genes controlling rice grain weight. For example, TGW6 has been shown to boost rice production by 15% when its function is blocked (Kabir and Nonhebel, 2021). CRISPR/Cas9 site-directed mutagenesis has been used to improve maintainer lines and get desirable traits faster in rice hybrid breeding methods based on this knowledge (Romero and Gatica-Arias, 2019). Also, new methods like metabolic analysis of phloem exudates using high-tech equipment like direct injection mass spectrometry have shown promise in telling the difference between different types of wheat and quality groups. Principal component analysis has been used to show that this method works even better (Alghamdi and Migdadi, 2020; Uddin et al., 2019). It's good to have universal standards for model species, but they might not always work well for other species (Piñol et al., 2019). The Cobb et al. (2019) fixed this problem by making a cheaper ddRADseq (restriction site-associated DNA sequencing) that works only on *Eucalyptus dunnii* Maiden. Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) arrays are already used in several genotyping systems for Eucalyptus. Cobb et al. (2019) say that their improved protocol can be quickly changed to work with any plant. This method takes advantage of the good things about other protocols while minimizing their flaws using parts of P1 and P2 protocols. ddRADseq technology could be a useful tool for genotyping in the future because it combines traits from RADseq methods, such as finding new markers and lowering ascertainment bias in new germplasm (Tao et al., 2021).

Developing calluses and growing subcultures were successfully carried out in fully developed eggs and stem sections of *Zea mays L.* Researchers discovered that the changed Linsmaier and Skoog's medium worked well. It had 4 mg/l of 2,4-D and 1 g/l of casamino acids. Specifically, 2,4-D performed better than NAA and IAA when forming calluses and helping them grow. Roots and root-like primordia were plentiful in agar-solidified media and liquid cultures, respectively, when NAA was used as an incubator for the callus. Both kinetin and gibberellic acid did not affect callus induction when added to 2,4-D, and neither stimulated callus growth when subcultured (Bett, 2021). It was discovered that callus growth was about the same on three types of media: B-5 from Gamborg and Eveleigh, Linsmaier and Skoog's, and Schenk and Hildebrandt's. All three media showed 2% sugar, 4 mg/l of 2,4-D, and 1 g/l of casamino acids. Calluses formed more quickly at temperatures lower than 30°C or 35°C, which was interesting. But the growth rate didn't change much when the light conditions changed. Whether it was kept in complete darkness or put through 16-hour rounds of light and dark, it didn't matter. More research showed that glucose and maltose did not

affect the growth of calluses in both liquid and agar-solidified cultures. On the other hand, sucrose was the food that helped growth the most (Callaghan, 2020; Degefa, 2019).

Drought stress

Over 60% of food production depends on farming watered by rain, making it vulnerable to weather changes every year (Gomez-Zavaglia et al., 2020). So, genetic progress is needed to make crops that can survive in dry conditions. Resistance to drought is a complicated trait controlled by many genes (Martignago et al., 2020). But, previous research hasn't been very good at connecting molecular data collected in controlled settings with what happens when breeding wheat that can handle drought. To solve this problem, a study found 377 genes differently expressed in "Jimai No.47" wheat cultivars that were both tolerant and responsive to drought in irrigated and drought-stressed fields. There was a lot of signaling transduction and MAP kinase activity in these genes, which shows that they might play a part in how cells respond to stress (Rasmussen, 2020). Also, RNA editing, which changes genes after transcription but happens during transcription, was found to be a possible way for wheat to respond to drought. The study also discovered that changing a single nucleotide in coding sequences could change amino acids. A codon variation from C to T was one of the most common changes. Using cheap and easy SSR markers based on PCR, more research was done on the genetic diversity of *Prunus salicina* Lindl landraces in the difficult farming climate of the Paraná River Delta in Argentina (Rasmussen, 2020). Using these neutral markers to study population genetics and identify cultivars was possible. In addition, computer analysis of the SSR flanking genome regions found at least 26 genes connected to fruit quality, plant growth, and stress resistance (Singh et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2023). This means that these genes could be used for breeding.

Conclusion

Genomics has changed crop production by combining data on genotype and phenotype. Quantitative trait genes have been found using advanced statistical methods, and animal genetic prediction tools are used in plant breeding. Utilizing biometric data, genome-wide markers, and phenotyping has made it easier to identify genes and made biotechnology solutions for agricultural plants possible. Cheaper ways to improve fertilizer efficiency include DNA sequencing, genetic engineering, and PCR-based marker-assisted selection. Climate-responsive crop growth is now possible thanks to next-generation sequencing. According to the study, CRISPR/Cas9 can improve the traits of crops, and metabolic studies can determine the quality of crops. Utilizing *Oryza sativa L.*, *Triticum aestivum L.*, and *Zea mays L.* as examples highlights the need for species-specific genetic research. Through PCR-based markers, scientists have also found important genes in landraces that

have become suited to harsh farming conditions, which gives farmers guidelines for growing crops. With these genetic advances, crop development has sped up, and farming has a lot of promise.

References

- Alghamdi, S. S., and Migdadi, H. M. (2020). Morphological diversity of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) M2 mutant populations induced by gamma radiation and diethyl sulfate. *Journal of King Saud University-Science* **32**, 1647-1658. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2019.12.024>
- Ali, A., Cao, J., Jiang, H., Chang, C., Zhang, H.-P., Sheikh, S. W., Shah, L., and Ma, C. (2019). Unraveling molecular and genetic studies of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) resistance against factors causing pre-harvest sprouting. *Agronomy* **9**, 117. DOI: [doi:10.3390/agronomy9030117](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9030117)
- Andrade, P. (2019). Mobile social hybrids and drone art. In "Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Digital and Interactive Arts", pp. 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3359852.3359879>
- Ansari, S. (2021). Mutation breeding for quality improvement: A case study for oilseed crops shazia bi ansari, aamir raina. *Mutagenesis, Cytotoxicity and Crop Improvement: Revolutionizing Food Science* **171**.
- Bai, T., Zhang, P., Guo, Z., Chetwynd, A. J., Zhang, M., Adeel, M., Li, M., Guo, K., Gao, R., and Li, J. (2021). Different physiological responses of C3 and C4 plants to nanomaterials. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **28**, 25542-25551. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-12507-7>
- Bailey-Serres, J., Parker, J. E., Ainsworth, E. A., Oldroyd, G. E., and Schroeder, J. I. (2019). Genetic strategies for improving crop yields. *Nature* **575**, 109-118. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1679-0>
- Beszterda, M., and Nogala-Kaľucka, M. (2019). Current research developments on the processing and improvement of the nutritional quality of rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology* **121**, 1800045. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201800045>
- Bett, C. C. (2021). Direct organogenesis and callus induction of coconut from seed embryo for mass propagation, JKUAT-IBR.
- Brzozowski, L. J., Szuleta, E., Phillips, T. D., Van Sanford, D. A., and Clark, A. J. (2023). Breeding cereal rye (*Secale cereale*) for quality traits. *Crop Science* **63**, 1964-1987. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csc.2.21022>
- Callaghan, J. (2020). Development of Rapid Propagation Systems for Hemerocallis sp.(Daylilies), University of Guelph.
- Chu, P., and Agapito-Tenfen, S. Z. (2022). Unintended genomic outcomes in current and next generation GM techniques: A systematic review. *Plants* **11**, 2997. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11212997>
- Cobb, J. N., Juma, R. U., Biswas, P. S., Arbelaez, J. D., Rutkoski, J., Atlin, G., Hagen, T., Quinn, M., and Ng, E. H. (2019). Enhancing the rate of genetic gain in public-sector plant breeding programs: lessons from the breeder's equation. *Theoretical and applied genetics* **132**, 627-645. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-019-03317-0>
- Crossa, J., Pérez-Rodríguez, P., Cuevas, J., Montesinos-López, O., Jarquín, D., De Los Campos, G., Burgueño, J., González-Camacho, J. M., Pérez-Elizalde, S., and Beyene, Y. (2017). Genomic selection in plant breeding: methods, models, and perspectives. *Trends in plant science* **22**, 961-975. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2017.08.011>
- Debaeke, P., and Izquierdo, N. G. (2021). Sunflower. In "Crop Physiology Case Histories for Major Crops", pp. 482-517. Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819194-1.00016-5>
- Degefa, I. (2019). Plant breeding methods: In brief for student. *International Journal of Agriculture and Agribusiness* **3**, 156-203.
- Deyto, R. C., and Cervancia, C. R. (2022). Floral Biology and Pollination of Red Hot F1 Hybrid Hot Pepper (*Capsicum frutescens* x *C. annum*) in the Philippines. *Philippine Agricultural Scientist* **105**.
- Gomez-Zavaglia, A., Mejuto, J. C., and Simal-Gandara, J. (2020). Mitigation of emerging implications of climate change on food production systems. *Food Research International* **134**, 109256. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109256>
- Kabir, M. R., and Nonhebel, H. M. (2021). Reinvestigation of THOUSAND-GRAIN WEIGHT 6 grain weight genes in wheat and rice indicates a role in pollen development rather than regulation of auxin content in grains. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **134**, 2051-2062. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-021-03804-3>
- Li, Y., Zhao, J., Krooneman, J., and Euverink, G. J. W. (2021). Strategies to boost anaerobic digestion performance of cow manure: Laboratory achievements and their full-scale application potential. *Science of The Total Environment* **755**, 142940. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142940>
- Martignago, D., Rico-Medina, A., Blasco-Escámez, D., Fontanet-Manzaneque, J. B., and Caño-Delgado, A. I. (2020). Drought resistance by engineering plant tissue-specific responses. *Frontiers in plant science* **10**, 1676. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01676>
- Meena, H., Sujatha, M., and Reddy, A. V. (2022). Advances in Male Sterility Systems and Hybrid

- Breeding in Sunflower. In "Plant Male Sterility Systems for Accelerating Crop Improvement", pp. 91-147. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-3808-5_6
- Mulugeta Kabithiymer, W. (2020). Quantifying potential and water-limited yields and yield gaps of faba bean (*vicia faba* L.) in ethiopia: exploring management options for closing yield gaps using crop modeling, Jimma University.
- Pandita, D., Pandita, A., and Wani, S. H. (2022). Transgenic approach: A Key to Enrich Soybean Oil Quality. In "Soybean Improvement: Physiological, Molecular and Genetic Perspectives", pp. 203-213. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-12232-3_11
- Piñol, J., Senar, M. A., and Symondson, W. O. (2019). The choice of universal primers and the characteristics of the species mixture determine when DNA metabarcoding can be quantitative. *Molecular ecology* **28**, 407-419. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14776>
- Rasmussen, S. K. (2020). Molecular genetics, genomics, and biotechnology in crop plant breeding. *Agronomy*, **10**, pp. 439. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10030439>
- Rebetzke, G., Jimenez-Berni, J., Fischer, R., Deery, D., and Smith, D. (2019). High-throughput phenotyping to enhance the use of crop genetic resources. *Plant Science* **282**, 40-48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.06.017>
- Ribeiro, L. F., Amarelle, V., Alves, L. d. F., Viana de Siqueira, G. M., Lovate, G. L., Borelli, T. C., and Guazzaroni, M.-E. (2019). Genetically engineered proteins to improve biomass conversion: New advances and challenges for tailoring biocatalysts. *Molecules* **24**, 2879. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24162879>
- Romero, F. M., and Gatica-Arias, A. (2019). CRISPR/Cas9: development and application in rice breeding. *Rice Science* **26**, 265-281. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsci.2019.08.001>
- Sainger, M., Jaiwal, A., Sainger, P. A., Chaudhary, D., Jaiwal, R., and Jaiwal, P. K. (2017). Advances in genetic improvement of Camelina sativa for biofuel and industrial bio-products. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews* **68**, 623-637. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.10.023>
- Saini, P., Saini, P., Kaur, J. J., Francies, R. M., Gani, M., Rajendra, A. A., Negi, N., Jagtap, A., Kadam, A., and Singh, C. (2020). Molecular approaches for harvesting natural diversity for crop improvement. *Rediscovery of genetic and genomic resources for future food security*, 67-169. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-0156-2_3
- Salgotra, R. K., and Stewart Jr, C. N. (2020). Functional markers for precision plant breeding. *International journal of molecular sciences* **21**, 4792. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21134792>
- Sandhu, N., Sethi, M., Kumar, A., Dang, D., Singh, J., and Chhuneja, P. (2021). Biochemical and genetic approaches improving nitrogen use efficiency in cereal crops: A review. *Frontiers in plant science* **12**, 657629. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.657629>
- Singh, A., Ramakrishna, G., Kaila, T., Saxena, S., Sharma, S., Gaikwad, A. B., Abdin, M., and Gaikwad, K. (2022). Next-generation sequencing technologies: Approaches and applications for crop improvement. In "Genomics of Cereal Crops", pp. 31-94. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-2533-0_3
- Singh, J., Sharma, A., Sharma, V., Gaikwad, P. N., Sidhu, G. S., Kaur, G., Kaur, N., Jindal, T., Chhuneja, P., and Rattanpal, H. (2023). Comprehensive genome-wide identification and transferability of chromosome-specific highly variable microsatellite markers from citrus species. *Scientific Reports* **13**, 10919. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-37024-0>
- Tao, Y., Chen, B., Kang, M., Liu, Y., and Wang, J. (2021). Genome-wide evidence for complex hybridization and demographic history in a group of Cycas from China. *Frontiers in Genetics* **12**, 717200. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2021.717200>
- Ton, L. B., Neik, T. X., and Batley, J. (2020). The use of genetic and gene technologies in shaping modern rapeseed cultivars (*Brassica napus* L.). *Genes* **11**, 1161. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes11101161>
- Uddin, M. N., Islam, A. S., Bala, S. K., Islam, G. T., Adhikary, S., Saha, D., Haque, S., Fahad, M. G. R., and Akter, R. (2019). Mapping of climate vulnerability of the coastal region of Bangladesh using principal component analysis. *Applied geography* **102**, 47-57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2018.12.011>
- Wani, S. H., Thakur, A. K., and Khan, Y. J. (2020). Brassica Improvement. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-34694-2>
- Zhang, J., Zheng, H., Zeng, X., Zhuang, H., Wang, H., Tang, J., Chen, H., Ling, Y., and Li, Y. (2019). Characterization and Gene Mapping of non-open hull 1 (noh1) Mutant in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Agronomy* **9**, 56. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9020056>
- Zhang, L., Song, Y., Li, J., Liu, J., Zhang, Z., Xu, Y., Fan, D., Liu, M., Ren, Y., and Xi, X. (2023). Development, Identification and Validation of a Novel SSR Molecular Marker for Heat Resistance of Grapes Based on miRNA. *Horticulturae* **9**, 931. <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae9080931>

Declarations

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

Funding

Not applicable

Author's contributions

AUR and AA wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. AA, GMR, MU, and MSB edit the manuscript for final submission. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for Publication

Not applicable

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), © The Author(s) 2024